arXiv:1112.4566v2 [math.AP] 18 Feb 2012

Existence of Smooth Solutions to Coupled Chemotaxis-Fluid Equations

Myeongju Chae, Kyungkeun Kang and Jihoon Lee

Abstract

We consider a system coupling the parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel equations to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in spatial dimensions two and three. We establish the local existence of regular solutions and present some blow-up criterions. For two dimensional Navier-Stokes-Keller-Segel equations, regular solutions constructed locally in time are, in reality, extended globally under some assumptions pertinent to experimental observation in [20] on the consumption rate and chemotactic sensitivity. We also show the existence of global weak solutions in spatially three dimensions with stronger restriction on the consumption rate and chemotactic sensitivity.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 35Q30, 35Q35, 76Dxx, 76Bxx
Keywords: chemotaxis-fluid equations, Keller-Segel, Navier-Stokes system, global solutions, energy estimates

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider mathematical models describing the dynamics of oxygen diffusion and consumption, chemotaxis, and viscous incompressible fluids in $\mathbb{R}^d$, with $d = 2, 3$. Bacteria or microorganisms often live in fluid, in which the biology of chemotaxis is intimately related to the surrounding physics. Such a model was proposed by Tuval et al.[20] to describe the dynamics of swimming bacteria, *Bacillus subtilis*. We consider the following equations in [20] and set $Q_T = (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d = 2, 3$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n + u \cdot \nabla n - \Delta n = -\nabla \cdot (\chi(c) n \nabla c), \\ \partial_t c + u \cdot \nabla c - \Delta c = -k(c) n, \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{in } \ (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T], \\ \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \Delta u + \nabla p = -n \nabla \phi, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0 \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $c(t, x) : Q_T \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $n(t, x) : Q_T \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $u(t, x) : Q_T \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and $p(t, x) : Q_T \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the oxygen concentration, cell concentration, fluid velocity, and scalar pressure, respectively. The nonnegative function $k(c)$ denotes the oxygen consumption rate, and the nonnegative function $\chi(c)$ denotes chemotactic sensitivity. Initial data are given by $(n_0(x), c_0(x), u_0(x))$. To describe the fluid motions, we use Boussinesq approximation to denote the effect due to heavy bacteria. The time-independent function $\phi = \phi(x)$ denotes the potential function produced by different physical mechanisms, e.g., the gravitational force or centrifugal force. Thus, $\phi(x) = a x_d$ is one example of gravity force, and $\phi(x) = \phi(|x|)$ is an example of centrifugal force. Experiments in [20] suggest that the functions $k(c)$ and $\chi(c)$ are constants at large c and rapidly approach zero below some critical c^*. Hence, in [20], these functions are approximated by step functions, e.g., $k(c) = \kappa_1 \theta(c - c^*)$ and $\chi(c) = \kappa_2 \theta(c - c^*)$ for some positive constants

κ_1 and κ_2. Also in [2], numerical simulation of plumes was obtained for the same species of bacteria in [20] in two dimensions. Furthermore, they assumed that the functions $\chi(c)$ and $k(c)$ are constant multiples of each other, i.e., $\chi(c) = \mu k(c)$.

The main goals of this paper are to show the local existence of smooth solutions in two and three dimensions with the general condition on the oxygen consumption rate and chemotactic sensitivity, and to demonstrate global existence of smooth solutions in two dimensions and weak solutions in three dimensions with appropriate assumptions of $\chi(c)$, $k(c)$, ϕ and initial data. Here we mention the related works for the result in this paper. If we ignore the coupling of the fluids, we obtain the angiogenesis type system. The classical model to describe the motion of cells was suggested by Patlak[17] and Keller-Segel[11, 12]. It consists of a system of the dynamics of cell density $n = n(t, x)$ and the concentration of chemical attractant substance $c = c(t, x)$ and is given as

$$\begin{cases} n_t = \Delta n - \nabla \cdot (n\chi\nabla c), \\ \alpha c_t = \Delta c - \tau c + n, \\ n(x, 0) = n_0(x), \quad c(x, 0) = c_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where χ is the sensitivity and $\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ represents the activation length. The system in (1.2) has been extensively studied by many authors(see [9, 10, 15, 16, 21] and references therein). For the chemical consumption model by the cell or bacteria, we refer to the following chemotaxis model motivated by angiogenesis.

$$\begin{cases} n_t = \Delta n - \nabla \cdot (n\chi(c)\nabla c), \\ c_t = -c^m n, \\ n(x, 0) = n_0(x), \quad c(x, 0) = c_0(x). \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

The global existence of the weak solution to the system in (1.3) was obtained by Corrias, Perthame and Zaag[3, 4] with a small data assumption of $\|n_0\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}}$. The bacterial movement toward the concentration gradient model in the absence of the fluid, i.e., $u = 0$, was recently studied. When $u \equiv 0$, $\chi(c) \equiv \chi$ and $k(c) \equiv c$ in (1.1), it was shown in [19] that there exists a uniquely global bounded solution if $0 < \chi \leq \dfrac{1}{6(d+1)\|c_0\|_{L^\infty}}$.

If the flow of the fluid is slow, then the Navier-Stokes equations can be simplified to the Stokes equations. For the case $\chi(c) \equiv \chi$, Lorz[14] showed the local existence of the solution for the Keller-Segel-Stokes system. In two dimensions, Duan, Lorz, and Markowich[6] showed the global existence of the weak solution to the Keller-Segel-Stokes equations with the small data assumptions on c_0, ϕ and the assumptions on the functions such that

$$\chi(c) > 0, \quad \chi'(c) \geq 0, \quad k'(c) > 0, \quad \frac{d^2}{dc^2}\left(\frac{k(c)}{\chi(c)}\right) < 0. \tag{1.4}$$

In [13], Liu and Lorz showed the global existence of a weak solution to the two-dimensional Keller-Segel-Navier-Stokes equations with similar assumptions on k and χ to those in (1.4). The equation of n in (1.1) could have been replaced by a porous medium equation, i.e., Δn is replaced by Δn^m and the following Keller-Segel-Stokes system has been considered in [7].

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n + u \cdot \nabla n - \Delta n^m = -\nabla \cdot (\chi(c) n \nabla c), \\ \partial_t c + u \cdot \nabla c - \Delta c = -k(c) n, \qquad \text{in } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T]. \\ \partial_t u - \Delta u + \nabla p = -n\nabla\phi, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

In [7], Francesco, Lorz and Markowich showed the global existence of the bounded solution to (1.5) when $m \in (\frac{3}{2}, 2]$. In [13], Liu and Lorz proved the global existence of the weak solution when $m > \frac{4}{3}$ in three dimensions. For the Keller-Segel-Navier-Stokes system (1.1), Duan, Lorz and Markowich[6] showed the global-in-time existence of the $H^3(\mathbb{R}^d)$-solution, near constant states, to (1.1), i.e., if the initial data $\|(n_0 - n_\infty, c_0, u_0)\|_{H^3}$ is sufficiently small, then there exists a unique global solution.

As mentioned earlier, the aim of this paper is to obtain the local-in-time existence of the smooth solution in two and three dimensions and the global-in-time existence of the classical solution to (1.1) in two dimensions under the minimal assumptions on the consumption rate and chemotactic sensitivity. Now we are ready to state our main results. The first result in this article is the local existence in time of the smooth solutions to (1.1). Comparing with the result in [6], we show the local-in-time existence without smallness of the initial data.

Theorem 1 *(Local existence) Let $m \geq 3$ and $d = 2, 3$. Assume that χ, $k \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $k(0) = 0$, $\|\nabla^l \phi\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ for $1 \leq |l| \leq m$. There exists $T > 0$, the maximal time of existence, such that, if the initial data $(n_0, c_0, u_0) \in H^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times H^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \times H^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then there exists a unique classical solution (n, c, u) of (1.1) satisfying for any $t < T$*

$$(n, c, u) \in L^\infty(0, t; H^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times H^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \times H^m(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

$$(\nabla n, \nabla c, \nabla u) \in L^2(0, t; H^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times H^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \times H^m(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Remark 1 *For simplicity, we denote*

$$\|(n(t), c(t), u(t))\|_{X_m} := \|n(t)\|_{H^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|c(t)\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|u(t)\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

We remark that if T is the maximal time of existence with $T < \infty$ in Theorem 1, then

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T} \|(n(t), c(t), u(t))\|^2_{X_m} + \int_0^T \|(n(t), c(t), u(t))\|^2_{X_{m+1}} = \infty, \qquad m \geq 3.$$

Secondly, we obtain two blow-up criteria for the system (1.1) depending on dimensions.

Theorem 2 *Suppose that χ, k, ϕ and the initial data (n_0, c_0, u_0) satisfy all the assumptions presented in Theorem 1. If T^*, the maximal time existence in Theorem 1, is finite, then one of the following is true in each case of two or three dimensions, respectively:*

$$(2D) \qquad \int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla c\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \infty. \tag{1.6}$$

$$(3D) \qquad \int_0^{T^*} \|u\|^q_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla c\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \infty, \qquad \frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = 1, \ 3 < p \leq \infty. \tag{1.7}$$

Remark 2 *Theorem 2 can be interpreted as follows: If $\int_0^T \|\nabla c\|^2_{L^\infty} < \infty$ in two dimensions or if $\int_0^T (\|u\|^q_{L^p} + \|\nabla c\|^2_{L^\infty}) dt < \infty$ in three dimensions, then the local solution can persist beyond time T, i.e., $(n, c, u) \in L^\infty(0, T + \delta; X_m) \cap L^2(0, T + \delta; X_{m+1})$ for some $\delta > 0$.*

The third main result is the global existence of the smooth solutions in the two-dimensional spatial domain $\mathbb{R}^2$. Motivated by experiments in [20] and [2], we assume that the oxygen consumption rate $k(c)$ and chemotactic sensitivity $\chi(c)$ satisfy the following conditions:

(A) There exists a constant μ such that $\sup |\chi(c) - \mu k(c)| < \epsilon$ for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$.

(B) $\chi(c), k(c), \chi'(c), k'(c)$ are all non-negative, i.e., $\chi(c),\ k(c),\ \chi'(c),\ k'(c) \geq 0$.

We remark that assumption **(A)** plays a crucial role in obtaining $LlogL \times H^1 \times L^2$ type estimates.

Theorem 3 *(Global existence in two dimensions) Let $d = 2$. Suppose that χ, k, ϕ and the initial data (n_0, c_0, u_0) satisfy all the assumptions presented in Theorem 1. Assume further that χ and k satisfy the assumptions* **(A)** *and* **(B)** *and $\phi \geq 0$. Then the unique regular solution $(n,\ c, u)$ exists globally in time and satisfies for any $T < \infty$*

$$(n,\ c,\ u) \in L^\infty(0,\ T; H^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^m(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^m(\mathbb{R}^2)),$$

$$(\nabla n,\ \nabla c,\ \nabla u) \in L^2(0,\ T; H^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^m(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^m(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

Remark 3 *If we approximate Heaviside functions using the smooth functions, then the experiments in [20] satisfy the assumptions* **(A)** *and* **(B)***. Furthermore, the assumptions on ϕ are satisfied by gravitational and centrifugal forces. Also we note that 2D numerical studies were performed under the assumption $\chi(c) = \mu k(c)$ in [2].*

Our final main theorem is on the global-in-time existence of weak solutions in three dimensions. The notion of a weak solution of (1.1) is detailed in section 4 (see Definition 5). For existence of global weak solution, we need similar restrictions on $k(c)$ and $\chi(c)$ as in Theorem 3. More precisely, compared to **(A)**, we impose a slightly stronger assumption, denoted by **(AA)**, which is given as follows:

(AA) There exists a constant μ such that $\chi(c) - \mu k(c) = 0$.

We are ready to state our last main result.

Theorem 4 *Let $d = 3$ and (n_0, c_0, u_0) satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} &n_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad c_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad u_0 \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ &n_0 \geq 0, \quad c_0 \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\ln n_0| + |x|) n_0 dx < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

Assume further that χ and $k \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfy the assumption **(AA)**, **(B)** *and $k(0) = 0$ and ϕ satisfies $\phi \geq 0$ and $\|\nabla^l \phi\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ for $0 \leq |l| \leq 2$. Then a weak solution (n, c, u) exists globally in time.*

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove local-in-time existence of the smooth solution for the two and three dimensional chemotaxis system with incompressible Navier-Stokes equations and obtain some blow-up criteria for the solution. In Section 3, we show the global in time existence of the regular solution in two dimensions. In Section 4, we establish the existence of a weak solution in three dimensions.

2 Local existence and blow-up criterion

2.1 Local existence

We first consider the chemotaxis system coupled with the Navier-Stokes equations in two and three dimensions. We show the local existence of solutions $(n,\, c,\, u)$ in $H^{m-1}\times H^m\times H^m$ space with $m\geq 3$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We construct the solution sequence $(n^j,\, c^j,\, u^j)_{j\geq 0}$ by iteratively solving the Cauchy problems on the following linear equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n^{j+1} + u^j\cdot\nabla n^{j+1} = \Delta n^{j+1} - \nabla\cdot(\chi(c^j)n^{j+1}\nabla c^j), \\ \partial_t c^{j+1} + u^j\cdot\nabla c^{j+1} = \Delta c^{j+1} - k(c^j)n^j, \\ \partial_t u^{j+1} + u^j\cdot\nabla u^{j+1} + \nabla p^{j+1} = \Delta u^{j+1} - n^j\nabla\phi, \qquad \nabla\cdot u^{j+1} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

We first set $(n^0(x,t),\, c^0(x,t),\, u^0(x,t)) = (n_0(x),\, c_0(x),\, u_0(x))$. Then, using the same initial data to solve the linear Stokes type equations and the linear parabolic equations, we obtain $u^1(x,t)$, $c^1(x,t)$ and $n^1(x,t)$, respectively. Similarly, we define $(n^j(x,t),\, c^j(x,t),\, u^j(x,t))$ iteratively. For this, we presume that c^j and n^j are nonnegative and show the existence and the convergence of solutions in the adequate function spaces. We show the nonnegativity of c^j and n^j at the end of the proof.

To prove the conclusion, i.e., to obtain contraction in adequate function spaces, we show the uniform boundness of the sequence of functions under our construction via energy estimates.

• (Uniform boundedness) We here show that the iterative sequences $(n^j,\, c^j, u^j)$ are in $X_m := H^{m-1}\times H^m\times H^m$ space for all $j\geq 0$. Observing that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m-1}\|\partial^\alpha(u^j n^{j+1})\|_{L^2} \leq C(\|u^j\|_{L^\infty}\|n^{j+1}\|_{H^{m-1}} + \|n^{j+1}\|_{L^\infty}\|u^j\|_{H^{m-1}}),$$

$$\left\|\chi(c^j)n^{j+1}\nabla c^j\right\|_{H^{m-1}} \leq C\left\|n^{j+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}\left(1+\left\|c^j\right\|_{H^m}^m\right),$$

$$\left\|k(c^j)n^j\right\|_{H^{m-1}} \leq C\left\|n^j\right\|_{H^{m-1}}\left(1+\left\|c^j\right\|_{H^m}^{m-1}\right),$$

we have the following energy estimates:

(i) The estimate of n^{j+1}

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|n^{j+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2 + \left\|\nabla n^{j+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2 \leq C\left\|u^j\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|n^{j+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}\left\|\nabla n^{j+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}$$

$$+C\left\|u^j\right\|_{H^{m-1}}\left\|n^{j+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}\left\|\nabla n^{j+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}} + C\left(1+\left\|c^j\right\|_{H^m}^m\right)\left\|n^{j+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}\left\|\nabla n^{j+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}$$

$$\leq C\left(1+\left\|u^j\right\|_{H^m}^2 + \left\|c^j\right\|_{H^m}^{2m}\right)\left\|n^{j+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla n^{j+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2. \tag{2.2}$$

(ii) The estimate of c^{j+1}

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|c^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2 + \left\|\nabla c^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2 \leq C\left\|u^j\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|c^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}\left\|\nabla c^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}$$

$$+C\left\|u^j\right\|_{H^m}\left\|c^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}\left\|\nabla c^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m} + C\left(1+\left\|c^j\right\|_{H^m}^{m-1}\right)\left\|n^j\right\|_{H^{m-1}}\left\|\nabla c^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}$$

$$\leq C\left(1+\left\|c^j\right\|_{H^m}^{2(m-1)}\right)\left\|n^j\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+C\left\|u^j\right\|_{H^m}^2\left\|c^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla c^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2. \tag{2.3}$$

(iii) The estimate of u^{j+1}

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|u^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2+\left\|\nabla u^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2\leq C\left\|\nabla u^j\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|u^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2$$

$$+C\left\|u^j\right\|_{H^m}\left\|\nabla u^{j+1}\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|u^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}+C\left\|n^j\right\|_{H^{m-1}}\left\|\nabla u^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}$$

$$\leq C\left\|u^j\right\|_{H^m}^2\left\|u^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2+C\left\|n^j\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u^{j+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2, \tag{2.4}$$

where standard commutator estimates are used. We show that there exists a constant $M>0$ such that, for any j, the following inequality holds for a small time interval $[0,\,T]$ (T will be specified later):

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left(\left\|n^j\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+\left\|c^j\right\|_{H^m}^2+\left\|u^j\right\|_{H^m}^2\right)$$

$$+\int_0^T\left\|\nabla n^j\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+\left\|\nabla c^j\right\|_{H^m}^2+\left\|\nabla u^j\right\|_{H^m}^2\,dt\leq M. \tag{2.5}$$

Here M is a number with $M\geq 4(\|n_0\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+\|c_0\|_{H^m}^2+\|u_0\|_{H^m}^2)$.

We prove (2.5) via an inductive argument. Suppose (2.5) hold for $j\leq i$. If we add (2.2), (2.3), and (2.4) and use Young's inequality, then we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\left\|n^{i+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+\left\|c^{i+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2+\left\|u^{i+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2)+\left\|\nabla n^{i+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+\left\|\nabla c^{i+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2+\left\|\nabla u^{i+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2$$

$$\leq C\left(1+\left\|u^i\right\|_{H^m}^2+\left\|c^i\right\|_{H^m}^{2m}\right)\left\|n^{i+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+C\left(1+\left\|c^i\right\|_{H^m}^{2(m-1)}\right)\left\|n^i\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2$$

$$+C\left\|u^i\right\|_{H^m}^2\left\|c^{i+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2+C\left\|u^i\right\|_{H^m}^2\left\|u^{i+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2+C\left\|n^i\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2$$

$$\leq C(1+M+M^m)\left\|n^{i+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+CM\left\|c^{i+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2$$

$$+CM\left\|u^{i+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2+C(1+M^{m-1})M+CM.$$

In the last inequality, we use the induction hypothesis. Hence, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\left\|n^{i+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+\left\|c^{i+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2+\left\|u^{i+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2)+\left\|\nabla n^{i+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+\left\|\nabla c^{i+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2+\left\|\nabla u^{i+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2$$

$$\leq C(1+M+M^m)(\left\|n^{i+1}\right\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+\left\|c^{i+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2+\left\|u^{i+1}\right\|_{H^m}^2)+C(1+M^{m-1})M. \tag{2.6}$$

We choose time T such that $\max\{C(1+M+M^m)T,\,C(1+M^{m-1})T\}\leq\frac{1}{4}$. Then from Gronwall's inequality, we have (2.5). In short, we have $(n^{j+1},\,c^{j+1},\,u^{j+1})\in L^\infty(0,\,T;X_m)$ and $(\nabla n^{j+1},\,\nabla c^{j+1},\,\nabla u^{j+1})\in L^2(0,\,T;X_m)$ and the uniform bound (2.5) for small $T>0$.

Also if we multiply $(c^{j+1})^{q-1}$ on the both sides of the second equation of (2.1) and integrate over spatial varaibles, then we obtain

$$\frac{1}{q}\frac{d}{dt}\|c^{j+1}\|_{L^q}^q+\frac{4(q-1)}{q^2}\|\nabla(c^{j+1})^{\frac{q}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2\leq 0.$$

Thus, the L^∞ norm of c^{j+1} is uniformly bounded, which implies that $\chi(c^j)$ and $k(c^j)$ are uniformly bounded for all $j\geq 0$.

• (Contraction) The estimate of this part is similar to that of the previous one. For convenience, we denote $\delta f^{j+1} := f^{j+1} - f^j$. Subtracting the j-th equations from the $(j+1)$-th equations, we have the following equations for δn^{j+1}, δc^{j+1} and δu^{j+1} :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \delta n^{j+1} + u^j \cdot \nabla \delta n^{j+1} - \Delta \delta n^{j+1} = -\delta u^j \cdot \nabla n^j - \nabla \cdot (\chi(c^j)\delta n^{j+1} \nabla c^j) \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad -\nabla \cdot (\chi(c^j) n^j \nabla c^j) + \nabla \cdot (\chi(c^{j-1}) n^j \nabla c^{j-1}), \\ \partial_t \delta c^{j+1} + u^j \cdot \nabla \delta c^{j+1} - \Delta \delta c^{j+1} = -\delta u^j \cdot \nabla c^j - k(c^j)\delta n^j + (k(c^j) - k(c^{j-1})) n^{j-1}, \\ \partial_t \delta u^{j+1} + u^j \cdot \nabla \delta u^{j+1} + \nabla \delta p^{j+1} - \Delta \delta u^{j+1} = -\delta u^j \cdot \nabla u^j - \delta n^j \nabla \phi, \\ \nabla \cdot \delta u^{j+1} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

(i) The estimate of δn^{j+1}.
Using the following standard commutator estimates

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \le m-1} \int [\partial^\alpha (u^j \cdot \nabla \delta n^{j+1}) \partial^\alpha \delta n^{j+1} - (u^j \cdot \nabla \partial^\alpha \delta n^{j+1}) \partial^\alpha \delta n^{j+1}]$$

$$\le C(\|\nabla u^j\|_{L^\infty} \|\delta n^{j+1}\|^2_{H^{m-1}} + \|u^j\|_{H^{m-1}} \|\nabla \delta n^{j+1}\|_{L^\infty} \|\delta n^{j+1}\|_{H^{m-1}}),$$

we have the following estimate:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\delta n^{j+1}\|^2_{H^{m-1}} + \|\nabla \delta n^{j+1}\|^2_{H^{m-1}} \le C\|\nabla u^j\|_{L^\infty} \|\delta n^{j+1}\|^2_{H^{m-1}}$$

$$+C\|u^j\|_{H^{m-1}} \|\delta n^{j+1}\|_{H^m} \|\delta n^{j+1}\|_{H^{m-1}} + C\|\delta u^j\|_{L^\infty} \|n^j\|_{H^{m-1}} \|\nabla \delta n^{j+1}\|_{H^{m-1}}$$

$$+C\|\delta u^j\|_{H^{m-1}} \|n^j\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \delta n^{j+1}\|_{H^{m-1}} + C(\|c^j\|_{H^m} + \|c^j\|^{m-1}_{H^m}) \|\delta n^{j+1}\|_{H^{m-1}} \|\nabla \delta n^{j+1}\|_{H^{m-1}}$$

$$+C\|n^j\|_{H^{m-1}} (1 + \|c^j\|^{m-1}_{H^m} + \|c^{j-1}\|^{m-1}_{H^m}) \|\delta c^j\|_{H^m} \|\nabla \delta n^{j+1}\|_{H^{m-1}}.$$

$$\le C(\|u^j\|_{H^m} + \|u^j\|^2_{H^m}) \|\delta n^{j+1}\|^2_{H^{m-1}} + C\|\delta u^j\|^2_{H^m} \|n^j\|^2_{H^{m-1}} + C(\|c^j\|^2_{H^m} + \|c^j\|^{2(m-1)}_{H^m}) \|\delta n^{j+1}\|^2_{H^{m-1}}$$

$$+C\|n^j\|^2_{H^{m-1}} (1 + \|c^j\|^{2(m-1)}_{H^m} + \|c^{j-1}\|^{2(m-1)}_{H^m}) \|\delta c^j\|^2_{H^m} + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla \delta n^{j+1}\|^2_{H^{m-1}}.$$

(ii) The estimate of δc^{j+1}.

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\delta c^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m} + \|\nabla \delta c^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m} \le C\|u^j\|_{L^\infty} \|\delta c^{j+1}\|_{H^m} \|\nabla \delta c^{j+1}\|_{H^m}$$

$$+C\|u^j\|_{H^{m-1}} \|\delta c^{j+1}\|_{H^m} \|\nabla \delta c^{j+1}\|_{H^m} + C\|\delta u^j\|_{L^\infty} \|c^j\|_{H^m} \|\nabla \delta c^{j+1}\|_{H^m}$$

$$+C\|c^j\|_{H^m} \|\delta u^j\|_{H^{m-1}} \|\nabla \delta c^{j+1}\|_{H^m} + C(1 + \|c^j\|^{m-1}_{H^m}) \|\delta n^j\|_{H^{m-1}} \|\nabla \delta c^{j+1}\|_{H^m}$$

$$+C\|n^{j-1}\|_{H^{m-1}} (1 + \|c^j\|^{m-1}_{H^m} + \|c^{j-1}\|^{m-1}_{H^m}) \|\delta c^j\|_{H^m} \|\nabla \delta c^{j+1}\|_{H^m}$$

$$\le C\|u^j\|^2_{H^m} \|\delta c^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m} + C\|c^j\|^2_{H^m} \|\delta u^j\|^2_{H^m} + C(1 + \|c^j\|^{2(m-1)}_{H^m}) \|\delta n^j\|^2_{H^{m-1}}$$

$$+C\|n^{j-1}\|^2_{H^{m-1}} (1 + \|c^j\|^{2(m-1)}_{H^m} + \|c^{j-1}\|^{2(m-1)}_{H^m}) \|\delta c^j\|^2_{H^m} + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla \delta c^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m},$$

where we used the Mean Value Theorem for the last term.
(iii) The estimate of δu^{j+1}.

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\delta u^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m} + \|\nabla \delta u^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m} \le C\|\nabla u^j\|_{L^\infty} \|\delta u^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+C\|u^j\|_{H^m}\|\nabla\delta u^{j+1}\|_{L^\infty}\|\delta u^{j+1}\|_{H^m}+C\|\delta u^j\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u^j\|_{H^{m-1}}\|\delta u^{j+1}\|_{H^{m+1}}\\
&\quad+C\|\delta u^j\|_{H^{m-1}}\|\nabla u^j\|_{L^\infty}\|\delta u^{j+1}\|_{H^{m+1}}+C\|\delta n^j\|_{H^{m-1}}\|\delta u^{j+1}\|_{H^{m+1}}\\
&\le C\|u^j\|_{H^m}\|\delta u^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m}+C\|u^j\|^2_{H^m}\|\delta u^j\|^2_{H^m}+C\|\delta n^j\|^2_{H^{m-1}}+\frac{1}{2}\|\delta u^{j+1}\|^2_{H^{m+1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where similar standard commutator estimates are used as in the case of δn^{j+1}. Using Young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}(\|\delta n^{j+1}\|^2_{H^{m-1}}+\|\delta c^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m}+\|\delta u^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m})+\|\nabla\delta n^{j+1}\|^2_{H^{m-1}}+\|\nabla\delta c^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m}+\|\nabla\delta u^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m}\\
&\le C\|\delta n^{j+1}\|^2_{H^{m-1}}+C\|\delta c^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m}+C\|\delta u^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m}+C\|\delta n^j\|^2_{H^{m-1}}+C\|\delta c^j\|^2_{H^m}+C\|\delta u^j\|^2_{H^m},
\end{aligned}
$$

where C depend on the $H^{m-1}\times H^m\times H^m$ norm of $(n^j,\, c^j,\, u^j)$ and $(n^{j-1},\, c^{j-1},\, u^{j-1})$ and the maximum values of $\chi^{(i)}$ and $k^{(i)}$. Gronwall's inequality gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|\delta n^{j+1}\|^2_{H^{m-1}}+\|\delta c^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m}+\|\delta u^{j+1}\|^2_{H^m})\\
&\le CT\exp(CT)\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|\delta n^j\|^2_{H^{m-1}}+\|\delta c^j\|^2_{H^m}+\|\delta u^j\|^2_{H^m}).
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above inequality, we find that $(n^j,\, c^j,\, u^j)$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $C(0,T;X_m)$ for some small $T>0$, and thus we have the limit in the same space.

• (Uniqueness) To show the uniqueness of the above local-in-time solution, we assume that there exist two local-in-time solutions $(c_1(x,t),\, n_1(x,t),\, u_1(x,t))$ and $(c_2(x,t),\, n_2(x,t),\, u_2(x,t))$ of (1.1) with the same initial data over the time interval $[0,T]$, where T is any time before the maximal time of existence. Let $\tilde c(x,t):=c_1(x,t)-c_2(x,t)$, $\tilde n(x,t):=n_1(x,t)-n_2(x,t)$, and $\tilde u(x,t):=u_1(x,t)-u_2(x,t)$. Then $(\tilde c,\, \tilde n,\, \tilde u)$ solves

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t\tilde n+u_1\cdot\nabla\tilde n-\Delta\tilde n=-\tilde u\cdot\nabla n_2-\nabla\cdot((\chi(c_1)-\chi(c_2))n_1\nabla c_1)\\
\qquad\qquad -\nabla\cdot(\chi(c_2)\tilde n\nabla c_1)-\nabla\cdot(\chi(c_2)n_2\nabla\tilde c),\\
\partial_t\tilde c+u_1\cdot\nabla\tilde c-\Delta\tilde c=-\tilde u\cdot\nabla c_2-(k(c_1)-k(c_2))n_1-k(c_2)\tilde n, \qquad \text{in } (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^d\times(0,\,T].\\
\partial_t\tilde u+u_1\cdot\nabla\tilde u-\Delta\tilde u+\nabla\tilde p=-\tilde u\cdot\nabla u_2-\tilde n\nabla\phi,\\
\nabla\cdot\tilde u=0,
\end{cases}
\tag{2.8}
$$

Multiplying $\tilde n$ to both sides of the first equation of (2.8) and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\tilde n\|^2_{L^2}+\|\nabla\tilde n\|^2_{L^2}&\le\|\tilde u n_2\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\tilde n\|_{L^2}+\|(\chi(c_1)-\chi(c_2))n_1\nabla c_1\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\tilde n\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+\|\chi(c_2)\tilde n\nabla c_1\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\tilde n\|_{L^2}+\|\chi(c_2)n_2\nabla\tilde c\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\tilde n\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C\|\tilde u\|^2_{L^2}\|n_2\|^2_{L^\infty}+C\|\tilde c\|^2_{L^2}\|n_1\|^2_{L^\infty}\|\nabla c_1\|^2_{L^\infty}+C\|\tilde n\|^2_{L^2}\|\nabla c_1\|^2_{L^\infty}\\
&\quad+C\|\nabla\tilde c\|^2_{L^2}\|n_2\|^2_{L^\infty}+\epsilon\|\nabla\tilde n\|^2_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying $\tilde c$ and $-\Delta\tilde c$ to both sides of the second equation of (2.8), we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\tilde c\|^2_{L^2}+\|\nabla\tilde c\|^2_{L^2}\le\|\tilde u c_2\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\tilde c\|_{L^2}+C\|\tilde c\|^2_{L^2}\|n_1\|_{L^\infty}+C\|\tilde n\|_{L^2}\|\tilde c\|_{L^2}
$$

$$\leq C\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2\|c_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C\|\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}^2\|n_1\|_{L^\infty} + C\|\tilde{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon\|\nabla\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \|u_1\cdot\nabla\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}\|\Delta\tilde{c}\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{u}\cdot\nabla c_2\|_{L^2}\|\Delta\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}\\ &\quad+\|k(c_1)-k(c_2)\|_{L^2}\|n_1\|_{L^\infty}\|\Delta\tilde{c}\|_{L^2} + C\|\tilde{n}\|_{L^2}\|\Delta\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq C\|\nabla\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}^2\|u_1\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla c_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C\|\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}^2\|n_1\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C\|\tilde{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon\|\Delta\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying $\tilde{u}$ to both sides of the third equation of (2.8) and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}\|u_2\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\tilde{u}\|_{L^2} + C\|\tilde{n}\|_{L^2}\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}\\ &\leq C\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2\|u_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C\|\tilde{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon\|\nabla\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Summing the above estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\|\tilde{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2)\\ &\leq C(\|\,|\nabla c_1| + |\nabla c_2|\,\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|n_1+n_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|n_1\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla c_1\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|c_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\,|u_1|+|u_2|\,\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1)\\ &\qquad\times(\|\tilde{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\tilde{c}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2}^2).\end{aligned}$$

Since all L^∞ norms of (n_i, c_i, u_i) are controlled by $H^{m-1}\times H^m\times H^m$ norm of (n_i, c_i, u_i) with $m \geq 3$, and the initial data of $(\tilde{c}, \tilde{n}, \tilde{u})$ are all zero, $(\tilde{c}, \tilde{n}, \tilde{u})$ are all zero for $T > 0$. That implies the uniqueness of the local classical solution.

- (Nonnegativity) For completeness, we briefly show that n^j and c^j are nonnegative for all j. To use induction, we assume c^j and n^j are nonnegative. If we apply the maximum principle to the equation of c^{j+1} in (2.1), we find that c^{j+1} is nonnegative ($k(c^j)n^j$ is nonnegative). Let us decompose $n^{j+1} = n_+^{j+1} - n_-^{j+1}$, where

$$n_+^{j+1} = \begin{cases} n^{j+1} & n^{j+1} \geq 0\\ 0 & n^{j+1} < 0, \end{cases} \qquad n_-^{j+1} = \begin{cases} -n^{j+1} & n^{j+1} \leq 0\\ 0 & n^{j+1} > 0. \end{cases}$$

Recall that the weak derivative of n_-^{j+1} is $-\nabla n^{j+1}$ if $n_-^{j+1} < 0$, otherwise zero. It holds that

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t n^{j+1}(n^{j+1})_- dxds = \frac{1}{2}(\|(n^{j+1})_-(0)\|_{L^2} - \|(n^{j+1})_-(0)\|_{L^2})$$

since $n_-^{j+1}, \partial_t n^{j+1} \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ (see e.g. [18]). Now multiplying the negative part $(n^{j+1})_-$ on both sides of the first equation of (2.1) and integrating over $[0,t]\times\mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \|(n^{j+1})_-\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla(n^{j+1})_-\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq C\int_0^t \|(n^{j+1})_-\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla c^j\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla(n^{j+1})_-\|_{L^2}^2 ds.$$

Using Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\|(n^{j+1})_-(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|(n^{j+1})_-(0)\|_{L^2}^2 \exp\left(C\int_0^t \|\nabla c^j\|_{L^\infty}^2 ds\right).$$

Since the initial data n_0^{j+1} is nonnegative, we conclude that n^{j+1} is nonnegative. This completes the proof.

□

2.2 Blow-up criterion

Next, we observe a blow-up criterion for the fluid chemotaxis equations.

Proposition 1 *(A Blow-up criterion) Suppose that χ, k, ϕ and the initial data (n_0, c_0, u_0) satisfy all the assumptions presented in Theorem 1. If $T < \infty$ is the maximal time of existence, then*

$$\int_0^T \left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\nabla c(t)\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right) dt = \infty.$$

Proof. At first, we consider the L^2 estimate of n. Multiplying n to both sides of the equation of n and integrating, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|n\|^2_{L^2} + \|\nabla n\|^2_{L^2} \leq C\|\chi(c)n\nabla c\|_{L^2}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}.$$

Since χ is continuous and c is uniformly bounded until the maximal time of existence, we have

$$C\|\chi(c)n\nabla c\|_{L^2}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla n\|^2_{L^2} + C\|\nabla c\|^2_{L^\infty}\|n\|^2_{L^2}.$$

For the estimates of c, we use the calculus inequality

$$\|\nabla(u \cdot \nabla c) - (u \cdot \nabla)\nabla c\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}.$$

Multiplying $-\Delta c$ to both sides of the equation of c and integrating, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla c\|^2_{L^2} + \|\Delta c\|^2_{L^2} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla c\|^2_{L^2} + C\|(k(c)n)\|^2_{L^2} + \frac{1}{4}\|\Delta c\|^2_{L^2}.$$

For the equations of u, multiplying $-\Delta u$ to both sides of the equations and integrating by parts, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2} + \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2} + C\|n\|_{L^2}\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}.$$

Collecting all the estimates, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|n\|^2_{L^2} + \|\nabla c\|^2_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2}\right) + \left(\|\nabla n\|^2_{L^2} + \|\Delta c\|^2_{L^2} + \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2}\right)$$
$$\leq C\left(\|\nabla c\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\right)\left(\|n\|^2_{L^2} + \|\nabla c\|^2_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2}\right).$$

From Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\sup\left(\|n\|^2_{L^2} + \|\nabla c\|^2_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2}\right) + \int_0^T \left(\|\nabla n\|^2_{L^2} + \|\Delta c\|^2_{L^2} + \|\Delta u\|^2_{L^2}\right) dt$$
$$\leq C(\|n_0\|^2_{L^2} + \|\nabla c_0\|^2_{L^2} + \|\nabla u_0\|^2_{L^2}) \exp\left(\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla c\|^2_{L^\infty} dt\right).$$

Note that $\|n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)}$ and $\|\nabla n\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)}$ are uniformly bounded if $\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla c\|^2_{L^\infty} dt$ is bounded. Moreover, we see that $n \in L^q_x L^\infty_t$ and $\nabla n^{q/2} \in L^2_x L^2_t$ for all $2 < q < \infty$. Indeed,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|n\|^q_{L^q} + \left\|\nabla n^{\frac{q}{2}}\right\|^2_{L^2} \leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left|n\nabla c\nabla n^{q-1}\right| dx \leq C\|\nabla c\|^2_{L^\infty}\|n\|^q_{L^q} + \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla n^{\frac{q}{2}}\right\|^2_{L^2}.$$

From the above inequality, we have $\|n(t)\|_{L^q} \leq C$, where C is independent of q. Letting $q \to \infty$, we have $n \in L_x^\infty L_t^\infty$.

Next, we consider the estimate in the space $(n, c) \in H^1 \times H^2$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta n\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\nabla^2 n\right\|_{L^2}$$
$$+C\|n\|_{L^\infty}\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^2 n\right\|_{L^2} + C\|n\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^2 n\right\|_{L^2}.$$

From Young's inequality and Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\sup\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \left\|\nabla^2 n\right\|_{L^2}^2 dt$$
$$\leq \left(\|\nabla n_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\infty)}\left(\int_0^T \|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)}\int_0^T \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}^2 dt\right)\right)$$
$$\times \exp\left(\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}^2 dt\right).$$

Hence, $n \in H_x^1 L_t^\infty \cap H_x^2 L_t^2$. For the H^2 estimate of c, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\Delta u\|_{L^2}\|c\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\Delta c\|_{L^2}$$
$$+C\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}\|n\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\Delta c\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\Delta c\|_{L^2}.$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we have $c \in H_x^2 L_t^\infty \cap H_x^3 L_t^2$. Similarly, $u \in H_x^2 L_t^\infty \cap H_x^3 L_t^2$. Then, we consider the estimate in the space $(n, c, u) \in H^2 \times H^3 \times H^3$. Proceeding similarly to the above, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|n\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla n\|_{H^2}^2 \leq C\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|n\|_{H^2}\|\nabla n\|_{H^2} + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|n\|_{H^1}\|\nabla n\|_{H^2}$$
$$+\frac{1}{4}\|\nabla n\|_{H^2}^2 + C\|\chi(c)n\nabla c\|_{H^2}^2.$$

In the above, the last term can be controlled by

$$\|\chi(c)n\nabla c\|_{H^2} \leq C\|n\|_{H^2}\|\chi(c)\nabla c\|_{H^2},$$

and

$$\left\|\nabla^2(\chi(c)\nabla c)\right\|_{L^2} \leq C\left\|\nabla^3 c\right\|_{L^2} + C\left\|\nabla^2 c\right\|_{L^2}\|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty} + C\|\nabla c\|_{L^6}^3.$$

We already obtained $c \in H_x^2 L_t^\infty \cap H_x^3 L_t^2$. Hence, if we use Young's inequality and Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\sup\|n\|_{H^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\nabla n\|_{H^2}^2 dt \leq \|n_0\|_{H^2}^2 \exp\left(C + \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}^2 dt\right).$$

Similarly, we estimate c as

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|c\|_{H^3}^2 + \|\nabla c\|_{H^3}^2 \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|c\|_{H^3}\|\nabla c\|_{H^3} + C\|u\|_{H^3}\|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla c\|_{H^3}$$

$$+C\|(k(c)n)\|_{H^2}^2+\frac{1}{4}\|\nabla c\|_{H^3}^2.$$

We can control the term $\|(k(c)n)\|_{H^2}^2$ by $C(\|c\|_{H^2}^2\|n\|_{H^2}^2+\|c\|_{H^1}^2\|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}^2\|n\|_{H^2}^2)$. For the estimate of u, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|_{H^3}^2+\|\nabla u\|_{H^3}^2\le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_{H^3}\|\nabla u\|_{H^3}+\frac{1}{4}\|\nabla u\|_{H^3}^2+C\|n\|_{H^2}^2.$$

Using Gronwall's inequality, we have $(c,u)\in(H_x^3L_t^\infty\cap H_x^4L_t^2)\times(H_x^3L_t^\infty\cap H_x^4L_t^2)$. Let us consider $H^{m-1}\times H^m\times H^m$ estimates. The case $m=2,3$ and 4 are proved in the above, hence we consider the $m\ge 5$ case. Taking ∂^α ($|\alpha|\le m-1$) and multiplying $\partial^\alpha n$ to both sides of the equation n and integrating and summing, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|n\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+\|\nabla n\|_{H^{m-1}}^2\le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|n\|_{H^{m-1}}\|\nabla n\|_{H^{m-1}}+C\|u\|_{H^{m-1}}\|\nabla n\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla n\|_{H^{m-1}}$$

$$+\frac{1}{4}\|\nabla n\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+C\|\chi(c)n\nabla c\|_{H^{m-1}}^2.$$

We already obtained the estimate for the case $m=4$, thus $\|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\infty)}$ is bounded. Hence, we have

$$\|\nabla\chi(c)\|_{H^{m-2}}\le C(1+\|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty})\|\nabla\chi'(c)\|_{H^{m-3}}.$$

Using the classical product lemma on each step of iteration, we can control

$$\|\nabla\chi(c)\|_{H^{m-2}}\le C(1+\|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty})^{m-1}.$$

Then we have

$$\|\chi(c)n\nabla c\|_{H^{m-1}}\le C(1+\|c\|_{H^m}+\|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}^m)\|n\|_{H^{m-1}}$$

using the product lemma. For the H^m estimate of c, we proceed similarly to have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|c\|_{H^m}^2+\|\nabla c\|_{H^m}^2\le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|c\|_{H^m}\|\nabla c\|_{H^m}+C\|u\|_{H^m}\|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla c\|_{H^m}$$

$$+C\|(k(c)n)\|_{H^{m-1}}^2+\frac{1}{4}\|\nabla c\|_{H^m}^2.$$

As is shown for the term $\|\chi(c)n\nabla c\|_{H^{m-1}}$, we control the term $\|(k(c)n)\|_{H^{m-1}}^2$ by $C(1+\|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}^{2m-2})\|n\|_{H^{m-1}}^2$. For the estimate of u, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|_{H^m}^2+\|\nabla u\|_{H^m}^2\le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_{H^m}\|\nabla u\|_{H^m}+\frac{1}{4}\|\nabla u\|_{H^m}^2+C\|n\|_{H^{m-1}}^2.$$

Thus, by collecting all the above estimates and using Gronwall's inequality, we have $(n,c,u)\in(H_x^{m-1}L_t^\infty\cap H_x^mL_t^2)\times(H_x^mL_t^\infty\cap H_x^{m+1}L_t^2)\times(H_x^mL_t^\infty\cap H_x^{m+1}L_t^2)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

We are ready to present the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. In the proof of Proposition 1, we notice that $\|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}$ is solely responsible for $n\in L_x^2L_t^\infty$ and $\nabla n\in L_x^2L_t^2$. Indeed,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|n\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|n\nabla c\nabla n|\,dx\le C\|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}^2\|n\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{2.9}$$

This implies $u \in L^2_x L^\infty_t$ and $\nabla u \in L^2_x L^2_t$ by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2} \leq C\|n\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.10}$$

Moreover, we have $n \in L^q_x L^\infty_t$ and $\nabla n^{q/2} \in L^2_x L^2_t$ for all $2 < q < \infty$;

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|n\|^q_{L^q} + \left\|\nabla n^{\frac{q}{2}}\right\|^2_{L^2} \leq C_q \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left|n\nabla c \nabla n^{q-1}\right| dx \leq C_q \|\nabla c\|^2_{L^\infty} \|n\|^q_{L^q} + \frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla n^{\frac{q}{2}}\right\|^2_{L^2}.$$

Next, we see that $\nabla c \in L^2_x L^\infty_t$ and $\nabla^2 c \in L^2_x L^2_t$. Indeed,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla c\|^2_{L^2} + \left\|\nabla^2 c\right\|^2_{L^2} \leq C \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^2} \left\|\nabla^2 c\right\|_{L^2} + C \|n\|_{L^2} \left\|\nabla^2 c\right\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.11}$$

We first consider the two-dimensional case.

- (**2D case**) For convenience, we denote vorticity as $\omega := \nabla \times u$; that is $\omega = \partial_1 u_2 - \partial_2 u_1$ in two dimensions. Next, we consider the vorticity equation

$$\omega_t - \Delta\omega + u\nabla\omega = -\nabla^\perp n \nabla\phi,$$

where $\nabla^\perp n = (-\partial_2 n, \partial_1 n)$. We note that $\omega \in L^2_x L^\infty_t$ and $\nabla\omega \in L^2_x L^2_t$, since

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|^2_{L^2} + \|\nabla\omega\|^2_{L^2} \leq C \|\nabla n\|_{L^2} \|\omega\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.12}$$

Furthermore, we observe that $\nabla\omega \in L^2_x L^\infty_t$ and $\nabla^2\omega \in L^2_x L^2_t$. Indeed, testing $-\Delta\omega$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla\omega\|^2_{L^2} + \left\|\nabla^2\omega\right\|^2_{L^2} &\leq \|u\|_{L^4} \|\nabla\omega\|_{L^4} \|\Delta\omega\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla n\|_{L^2} \|\Delta\omega\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2} \|\nabla\omega\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2} \left\|\nabla^2\omega\right\|^{\frac{3}{2}}_{L^2} + \|\nabla n\|_{L^2} \|\Delta\omega\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, via embedding, we have

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} dt \leq \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{H^2} dt \leq C \int_0^T \|\omega\|_{H^2} dt < \infty.$$

This completes the proof of the 2D case.

- (**3D case**) We will show this case by contradictory arguments. We suppose that the condition (1.7) is not true. We first recall the vorticity equation

$$\omega_t - \Delta\omega + u\nabla\omega = \omega\nabla u - \nabla^\perp n \nabla\phi.$$

Under the condition (1.7) we have $\omega \in L^2_x L^\infty_t$ and $\nabla\omega \in L^2_x L^2_t$ as follows. We denote $Q^* = \mathbb{R}^3 \times (T^* - \delta, t)$ for $T^* - \delta < t < T^*$. For any given p, q satisfying $3/p + 2/q = 1$, $3 < p \leq \infty$, we choose l, m such that $1/p + 1/l = 1/2$ and $1/q + 1/m = 1/2$. We then remind that, due to the Gargliardo-Nirenberg's inequality,

$$\|u\|_{L^{l,m}_{x,t}} \leq C \|u\|^\theta_{L^{2,\infty}_{x,t}} \|\nabla u\|^{1-\theta}_{L^{2,2}_{x,t}}, \qquad 2 \leq l \leq 6,\ \frac{3}{l} + \frac{2}{m} = \frac{3}{2},$$

where $\theta = (6-l)/2l$ and $1-\theta = (3l-6)/2l$. Then we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \le \|u\|_{L^p}\|\omega\|_{L^l}\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^2} + C\|n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^2}.$$

Next, integrating in time over $(T^*-\delta, t)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{T^*-\delta}^t \|\nabla\omega\|_{L^2}^2 &\le \|\omega(T^*-\delta)\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|u\|_{L^{p,q}_{x,t}}\|\omega\|_{L^{l,m}_{x,t}}\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^{2,2}_{x,t}} + C\|n\|_{L^{2,2}_{x,t}}\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^{2,2}_{x,t}}\\ &\le \|\omega(T^*-\delta)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L^{p,q}_{x,t}}\|\omega\|^{\theta}_{L^{2,\infty}_{x,t}}\|\nabla\omega\|^{2-\theta}_{L^{2,2}_{x,t}} + C\|n\|_{L^{2,2}_{x,t}}\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^{2,2}_{x,t}}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\theta > 0$. By Young's inequality, we have

$$\|\omega(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{T^*-\delta}^t \|\nabla\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \le \|\omega(T^*-\delta)\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|u\|^{\frac{2}{\theta}}_{L^{p,q}_{x,t}}\|\omega\|^2_{L^{2,\infty}_{x,t}} + C\|n\|^2_{L^{2,2}_{x,t}} + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\omega\|^2_{L^{2,2}_{x,t}}.$$

Since $\|u\|_{L^{p,q}_{x,t}}$ can be sufficiently small in $(T^*-\delta, T^*)\times\mathbb{R}^3$ by decreasing δ, we have

$$\|\omega(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_{T^*-\delta}^t \|\nabla\omega\|_{L^2}^2 \le \|\omega(T^*-\delta)\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|n\|^2_{L^{2,2}_{x,t}}, \tag{2.13}$$

which is bounded by (2.9). Since t is arbitrary for all $t < T^*$, this estimate is uniform.

Next, we observe that $\nabla^2 c \in L^2_x L^\infty_t$ and $\nabla^3 c \in L^2_x L^2_t$. Indeed, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\nabla^2 c\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^3 c\right\|_{L^2}^2 &\le C(\|\nabla n\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2})\left\|\nabla^3 c\right\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^6}\left\|\nabla^2 c\right\|_{L^3}\left\|\nabla^3 c\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C(\|\nabla n\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2})\left\|\nabla^3 c\right\|_{L^2} + \|\omega\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^2 c\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\nabla^3 c\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

and use (2.9), (2.10). Similarly, we show that $n \in L^\infty_t H^1_x \cap L^2_t H^2_x$ by estimating

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^2 n\right\|_{L^2}^2 &\le \|u\|_{L^6}\|\nabla n\|_{L^3}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}\|\Delta n\|_{L^2}\\ &+ \|u\|_{L^6}\left\|\nabla^2 c\right\|_{L^3}\left\|\nabla^2 n\right\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla c\|_{L^\infty}\|n\|_{L^6}\|\nabla c\|_{L^3}\|\Delta n\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we show that $\omega \in H^1_x L^\infty_t \cap H^2_x L^2_t$. Testing $-\Delta\omega$ to the equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\|\nabla^2\omega\right\|_{L^2}^2 &\le \|u\|_{L^p}\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^l}\left\|\nabla^2\omega\right\|_{L^2}\\ &+ \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\|\omega\|_{L^4}\left\|\nabla^2\omega\right\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^2\omega\right\|_{L^2},\end{aligned}$$

where $3 < p \le \infty$ and $1/p + 1/l = 1/2$. Note that, via the Gargliardo-Nirenberg's inequality,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\|\omega\|_{L^4}\left\|\nabla^2\omega\right\|_{L^2} \le C\|\omega\|_{L^4}^2\left\|\nabla^2\omega\right\|_{L^2} \le C\|\omega\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{4}}\left\|\nabla^2\omega\right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{4}}.$$

We treat the term $\|u\|_{L^p}\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^l}\left\|\nabla^2\omega\right\|_{L^2}$ similarly to $\|u\|_{L^p}\|\omega\|_{L^l}\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^2}$ in the estimation of (2.13). Therefore, since $\nabla^2\omega \in L^2_x L^2_t$, we have

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\,dt \le \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{H^2}\,dt \le C\int_0^T \|\omega\|_{H^2}\,dt < \infty.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

3 Global solutions in two dimensions

In this section, we provide the proof of global existence of smooth solutions in time with large initial data in two dimensions. For the proof of Theorem 3, we show some a priori estimates, which are uniform until the maximal time of existence. Moreover, such estimates imply that the blow-up condition quantity in Theorem 2 is uniformly bounded up to the maximal time of existence. Therefore, the maximal time cannot be finite. Now we present the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. We first present the following estimates for the solutions to the two-dimensional chemotaxis system coupled with the Navier-Stokes equations.

$$n(1+|x|+|\ln n|) \in L^\infty(0,\,T;L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad \nabla\sqrt{n} \in L^2(0,\,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)), \tag{3.1}$$

$$c \in L^\infty(0,\,T;L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)\cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad \nabla c \in L^2(0,\,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)), \tag{3.2}$$

$$u \in L^\infty(0,\,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad \nabla u \in L^2(0,\,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)). \tag{3.3}$$

We have the mass conservation for $n(t,x)$ as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n(t,\,x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n_0(x)dx. \tag{3.4}$$

Multiplying $c^{q-1}(t,x)$ to both sides of the second equation of (1.1) and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\frac{1}{q}\frac{d}{dt}\|c\|_{L^q}^q + \frac{4(q-1)}{q^2}\|\nabla c^{\frac{q}{2}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} k(c)nc^{q-1}dx = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Hence, we have $c \in L^\infty(0,\,T;L^q)$ for any $1 < q \le \infty$ and $\nabla c^{\frac{q}{2}} \in L^2(0,\,T;L^2)$ for any $1 < q < \infty$. Multiplying $\ln n$ to both sides of the first equation of (1.1) and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n\ln n dx + 4\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla\sqrt{n}|^2dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\chi'(c)|\nabla c|^2 ndx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\chi(c)\Delta c ndx. \tag{3.6}$$

Multiplying $-\Delta c$ to both sides of (1.1) and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} k(c)\Delta c\ ndx + \sum_{j,k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} c\partial_k u_i \partial_i\partial_k c dx \\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} k(c)\Delta c\ ndx + C_1\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|c\|_{L^\infty}\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Multiplying μ to both sides of (3.7) and then adding (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n\ln n + \mu|\nabla c|^2dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 4|\nabla\sqrt{n}|^2 + \mu|\Delta c|^2 dx \\ &\le \epsilon\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{n}\|_{L^4}^2 + C_2\|c\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\mu\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\le \frac{1}{2}\mu\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon C_3\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_2\|c\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where we used the condition (A). Here we choose ϵ to be so small that $\epsilon C_3 < 2$ and, for convenience, set $\frac{\lambda_1}{2} := C_2\|c_0\|^2_{L^\infty}$. On the other hand, multiplying u to both sides of the third equations of (1.1) and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|^2_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n\nabla\phi u dx. \tag{3.9}$$

Multiplying ϕ to both sides of the first equation of (1.1) and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n\phi dx &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u\cdot\nabla n\phi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\nabla n\cdot\nabla\phi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\chi(c)n\nabla c\cdot\nabla\phi dx\\ &\le -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u\cdot\nabla n\phi dx + C_4\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2} + C_5\|n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}. \qquad (3.10)\end{aligned}$$

Summing (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2_{L^2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n\phi dx\right) + \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2}\\ &\le C_5\|\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2} + C_6\|\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}. \qquad (3.11)\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying λ_1 to both sides of (3.11) and adding (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n\ln n + \mu|\nabla c|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}|u|^2 + \lambda_1 n\phi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 2|\nabla\sqrt{n}|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2}|\Delta c|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 dx\\ &\le \lambda_1 C_5\|n_0\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^1}\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2} + \lambda_1 C_6\|n_0\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^1}\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}\\ &\le \frac{\lambda_1^2 C_5^2}{2}\|n_0\|_{L^1} + \frac{\lambda_1^2 C_6^2}{2}\|n_0\|_{L^1}\|\nabla c\|^2_{L^2} + \|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|^2_{L^2}. \qquad (3.12)\end{aligned}$$

Using Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n\ln n + \mu|\nabla c|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}|u|^2 + \lambda_1 n\phi dx\right)\\ &+ \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla\sqrt{n}|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2}|\Delta c|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 dxdt \le C(T).\end{aligned}$$

Next, we show that $n\,|\ln n| \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$, following a typical argument for dealing with kinetic entropy (see e.g. [5]). We first note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n(\ln n)_- \le C + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n\langle x\rangle, \tag{3.13}$$

where $(\ln n)_-$ is a negative part of $\ln x$ and $\langle x\rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Indeed, setting $D_1 = \{x : n(x) \le e^{-|x|}\}$ and $D_2 = \{x : e^{-|x|} < n(x) \le 1\}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n(\ln n)_- = -\int_{D_1} n\ln n - \int_{D_2} n\ln n \le C\int_{D_1}\sqrt{n} + \int_{D_2} n\langle x\rangle \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x|}{2}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n\langle x\rangle. \tag{3.14}$$

This deduces the estimate (3.13). Next, integrating (3.12) in time t, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n(\cdot,t)\ln n(\cdot,t) + \mu \left\|\nabla c(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}\left\|u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda_1 \left\|n(t)\phi\right\|_{L^1}$$

$$+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 2\left(|\nabla\sqrt{n}|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2}|\Delta c|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}|\nabla u|^2\right)dxd\tau \le C_7 + C_8 t + C_9\int_0^t \left\|\nabla c\right\|_{L^2}^2 dxd\tau, \quad (3.15)$$

where $C_7 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n_0 \ln n_0 + \mu\left\|\nabla c_0\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}\left\|u_0\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda_1\left\|n_0\phi\right\|_{L^1}$. Remembering (3.13), we compute

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\langle x\rangle n dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} nu\nabla\langle x\rangle dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n\Delta\langle x\rangle dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\chi(c)n\nabla c\nabla\langle x\rangle dx. \quad (3.16)$$

The term $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} nu\nabla\langle x\rangle dx$ is bounded as follows:

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} nu\nabla\langle x\rangle dx\right| \le \|\sqrt{n}\|_{L^4}^2\|u\|_{L^2} \le \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|n_0\|_{L^1}\|u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Noting that $|\nabla\langle x\rangle| + |\Delta\langle x\rangle| \le C$, we get

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n\Delta\langle x\rangle dx\right| + \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\chi(c)n\nabla c\nabla\langle x\rangle dx\right| \le C + C\left\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla c\right\|_{L^2},$$

where we used that $\|n\|_{L^2} \le C\left\|n_0\right\|_{L^1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}$. In summary, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\langle x\rangle n dx \le \delta\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\delta\left\|\nabla c\right\|_{L^2}^2 + C, \quad (3.17)$$

where δ is sufficiently small, which will be specified later. Therefore, integrating (3.17) in time,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\langle x\rangle n(\cdot,t)dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\langle x\rangle n_0 dx + \delta\int_0^t\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\int_0^t\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\delta\int_0^t\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}^2 + Ct. \quad (3.18)$$

Now adding $2\int n(\ln n)_-$ to both sides of (3.15), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n(\cdot,t)\left|\ln n(\cdot,t)\right| + \mu\left\|\nabla c(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}\left\|u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda_1\left\|n(t)\phi\right\|_{L^1}$$

$$+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(|\nabla\sqrt{n}|^2 + \mu|\Delta c|^2 + \lambda_1|\nabla u|^2\right)dxd\tau$$

$$\le C + Ct + C\int_0^t\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}^2 dxd\tau + C\int_0^t\|u\|_{L^2}^2 dxd\tau, \quad (3.19)$$

where δ in (3.17) is so small that term $\int_0^t\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^2$ is absorbed to the left hand side of (3.15). Since (3.19) holds for all t until the maximal time of existence, due to Gronwall's inequality, we obtain $n\left|\ln n\right| \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$. Moreover, again via the inequality (3.19), we deduce (3.1)-(3.3).

We note that from the blow-up criterion in two dimensions in Theorem 2, it suffices to show that $\nabla c \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2))$ for global existence of smooth solutions in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We first consider the vorticity equation of velocity fields. Taking curl, we have

$$\partial_t\omega + (u\cdot\nabla)\omega - \Delta\omega = -\nabla^\perp n\cdot\nabla\phi,$$

where $\nabla^{\perp} = (-\partial_2, \partial_1)$. If we multiply ω to both sides of the above equation and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^2$, then we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\omega\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n\nabla\phi\nabla^{\perp}\omega dx \le C\|n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^{\perp}\omega\|_{L^2}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\|\omega\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)}^2 + \|\nabla\omega\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)}^2 \le C\|n\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)}^2.$$

Since $\|n\|_{L^2} \le \|\sqrt{n}\|_{L^4}^2 \le C\|\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}$, we have $\omega \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2) \cap L^2(0,T;H^1)$. Next we consider the equation of n. Multiplying n and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi(c) n\nabla c\nabla n dx$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla\cdot(\chi(c)\nabla c)n^2 dx \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 c| n^2 dx + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla c|^2 n^2 dx$$

$$\le C(\left\|\nabla^2 c\right\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla c\|_{L^4}^2)\,\|n\|_{L^4}^2 \le C\left\|\nabla^2 c\right\|_{L^2}\|n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2},$$

where we used that χ is C^1 and $c \in L^\infty(0,\,\infty; L^\infty)$, i.e., $\chi(c)$ and $\chi'(c)$ are bounded. Due to Young's inequality, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 \le C\|n\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Therefore, via Gronwall's inequality, we have $n \in L^\infty(0,\,T; L^2) \cap L^2(0,\,T; H^1)$. Multiplying $\Delta^2 c$ to both sides of the equation of c and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 \le \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla c\|_{L^4}\|\nabla\Delta c\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2 c\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\Delta c\|_{L^2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} k(c)n\Delta^2 c dx.$$

We note that the last term above is controlled as follows:

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} k(c)n\Delta^2 c dx\right| \le \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} k'(c)\nabla c\cdot(\nabla\Delta c)n dx\right| + \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} k(c)\nabla n\cdot(\nabla\Delta c)dx\right|$$

$$\le C\|\nabla\Delta c\|_{L^2}\|n\|_{L^4}\|\nabla c\|_{L^4} + C\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\Delta c\|_{L^2}$$

$$\le \epsilon\|\nabla\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|n\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla c\|_{L^4}^2 + C\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 \le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla c\|_{L^4}^2 + C\|u\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|n\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla c\|_{L^4}^2 + C\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2.$$

$$\le C\|\omega\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^2}\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^2 c\right\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla\omega\|_{L^2}^2\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$+C\|n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^2 c\right\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Gronwall's inequality gives $c \in L^\infty(0,\,T; H^2) \cap L^2(0,\,T; H^3)$, which implies via embedding that $\nabla c \in L^2(0,\,T; L^\infty)$. This completes the proof. ☐

4 Global weak solution in three dimensions

In this section we will show the global existence of the weak solutions for (1.1) in three dimensions. We start with notations. $H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is used to indicate the closure of compactly supported smooth functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ means the dual space of $H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We also introduce the function spaces $\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathcal{V}_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \,|\, u_i \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\}, \qquad \mathcal{V}_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{u \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^3) \,|\, \operatorname{div} u = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^3) = \text{ the closure of } \mathcal{V}_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ in } (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3.$$

The dual space of $\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{V}'(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \mid u_i \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)\}$. The duality $\langle w, v\rangle$ for $w \in \mathcal{V}'(\mathbb{R}^3), v \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is, as usual, given as $\langle w, v\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle w_i, v_i\rangle_{H^{-1}\times H_0^1}$ and we denote $\mathcal{V}_\sigma^\circ(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{w \in \mathcal{V}'(\mathbb{R}^3) \,|\, \langle w, v\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{V}_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)\}$.

Next, we define the notion of a weak solution for the system (1.1).

Definition 5 *Let $0 < T \leq \infty$. A triple (n, c, u) is called a weak solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(a) The functions n and c are non-negative and (n, c, u) satisfy

$$n(1 + |x| + |\ln n|) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \nabla\sqrt{n} \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

$$c \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \nabla c \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \nabla u \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

(b) The functions $n, c,$ and u solve the chemotaxis-fluid equations (1.1) in the sense of distributions, namely for any $\Psi \in C^1([0, T]; (C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot \Psi = 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \Psi)(\cdot, T) + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot (\partial_t \Psi + \Delta\Psi) + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \otimes u : \nabla\Psi$$
$$- \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n\nabla\phi \cdot \Psi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_0 \cdot \Psi(0, x) = 0,$$

where $u \otimes u : \nabla\Psi = \sum_{j,k=1}^3 u^j u^k \partial_j \Psi^k$ and

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n(\partial_t\varphi + \Delta\varphi) + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} nu \cdot \nabla\varphi + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi(c)n\nabla c \cdot \nabla\varphi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n_0(x)\varphi(0, x) = 0,$$

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} c(\partial_t\varphi + \Delta\varphi) + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} cu \cdot \nabla\varphi - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(c)n\varphi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} c_0(x)\varphi(0, x) = 0$$

for any $\varphi \in C^1([0, T]; (C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)))$ with $\varphi(\cdot, T) = 0$.

(c) The functions n, c and u satisfy the following energy inequality:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\frac{|u|^2}{2} + n\phi + n|\ln n| + \frac{|\nabla c|^2}{2} + \langle x\rangle n)dx + \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C,$$

with $C = C(T, \|\chi(c)\|_{L^\infty}, \|\langle x\rangle n_0\|_{L^1}, \|\nabla c_0\|_{L^2}, \|n_0| \ln n_0\|_{L^1}, \|\Delta\phi\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}, \|\phi\|_{L^\infty})$.

Now we compute a priori estimate of an energy inequality under the Assumption **(AA)** and **(B)**. We note first, by maximum principle, that

$$n(t,x) \geq 0, \quad c(t,x) \geq 0, \quad \|c(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|c_0\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for } \ t \geq 0,\ 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

It is straightforward that $\|n(t)\|_{L^1} = \|n_0\|_{L^1}$ for $t \geq 0$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u|^2}{2}dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n\phi dx\right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n\Delta\phi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi(c)n\nabla c\nabla\phi dx, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n\ln n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n}dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi'(c)|\nabla c|^2 n dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi(c)\Delta c n dx, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla c|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta c|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(c)\Delta c n dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^{3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} c\partial_i\partial_j c\partial_i u_j dx. \tag{4.3}$$

Multiplying μ to the last equation (4.3) and adding it to the second equation (4.2), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n\ln n dx + \mu|\nabla c|^2 dx\right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\sqrt{n}|^2 dx + \mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta c|^2 dx + \int \chi'(c)|\nabla c|^2 n dx$$

$$\leq -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \underbrace{(\chi(c) - \mu k(c))}_{=0}\Delta c n dx + \mu\|c_0\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\Delta c\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C_1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{4}\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 \tag{4.4}$$

for some C_1, which can be taken bigger than 1, i.e. $C_1 > 1$. Also it holds that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle x\rangle n dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} nu\nabla\langle x\rangle dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n\Delta\langle x\rangle dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi(c)n\nabla c\nabla\langle x\rangle dx. \tag{4.5}$$

Since the term $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} nu\nabla\langle x\rangle dx$ is bounded as follows:

$$\|n\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}}\|u\|_{L^6} \leq C\|n\|_{L^1}^{\frac{3}{4}}\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|n_0\|_{L^1}),$$

we can have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle x\rangle n dx \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi(c)n\nabla c\nabla\langle x\rangle dx + C. \tag{4.6}$$

We estimate the term $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi(c)n\nabla c\nabla\langle x\rangle dx$ similarly as above.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi(c)n\nabla c\nabla\langle x\rangle dx \leq C\|\sqrt{n}\|_{L^3}^2\|\nabla c\|_{L^3} \leq C\|\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}\|\Delta c\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.7}$$

Multiplying C_1 to (4.1) and adding it together with (4.4) and (4.6), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} C_1(\frac{|u|^2}{2} + n\phi) + n\ln n + \frac{|\nabla c|^2}{2} + \langle x\rangle n dx\right)$$

$$+\frac{C_1 - 1}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\nabla c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2) + C. \tag{4.8}$$

Then, by Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\frac{|u|^2}{2} + n\phi + n\ln n + \frac{|\nabla c|^2}{2} + \langle x\rangle n)dx$$
$$+ \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 dt \le C, \tag{4.9}$$

where $C(T, \|\chi(c)\|_{L^\infty}, \|n_0\|_{L^1}, \|\langle x\rangle n_0\|_{L^1}, \|\Delta\phi\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty})$. By same reasoning for treating $n(\ln n)_-$ term in (3.14), it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^2 + n\phi + n\,|\ln n| + |\nabla c|^2 + \langle x\rangle n)dx + \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 dt \le C. \tag{4.10}$$

Streamline of constructing global weak solutions, as in usual steps for the Navier-Stokes equations, is the following:

· *regularizing the system for which we prove the existence of smooth solutions*
· *finding uniform estimates for the solutions of the regularized system*
· *passing to the limit on the regularized parameters.*

4.1 Regularization

In this subsection, we intend to construct approximate solutions of the system. For the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations defined on a general bounded domain, the global weak solutions are constructed by using the spectral projections $(P_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, associated to the inhomogeneous Stokes operator ([1, Chapter 2]). A number of useful properties of the family $(P_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are listed as follows: For any $u \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$,

$$P_k P_{k'} u = P_{min(k,k')}u, \qquad \lim_{k\to\infty} \|P_k u - u\|_{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)} = 0, \tag{4.11}$$
$$\|\nabla P_k u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \sqrt{k}\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \qquad \|\Delta P_k u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le k\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{4.12}$$
$$\|(1 - P_k)u\|_{L^2} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}_\sigma}. \tag{4.13}$$

In particular, (4.12) implies $P_k u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ for $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ in three dimensions.

Definition 6 *The bilinear map Q is defined by*

$$Q : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}',$$
$$(u, v) \mapsto -\mathrm{div}\,(u \otimes v).$$

From now on we denote by $\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbb{R}^3)$ the space $P_k\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We regularize (1.1) by a frequency cut-off operator P_k and a mollifier σ^ϵ:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n^{k,\epsilon}(t) = -u^{k,\epsilon}\cdot\nabla n^{k,\epsilon} + \Delta n^{k,\epsilon} - \nabla\cdot(n^{k,\epsilon}[(\chi(c^{k,\epsilon})\nabla c^{k,\epsilon}) * \sigma^\epsilon]), \\ \partial_t c^{k,\epsilon}(t) = -u^{k,\epsilon}\cdot\nabla c^{k,\epsilon} + \Delta c^{k,\epsilon} - k(c^{k,\epsilon})(n^{k,\epsilon} * \sigma^\epsilon), \\ \partial_t u^{k,\epsilon}(t) = -P_k Q(u^{k,\epsilon}, u^{k,\epsilon}) + P_k\Delta u^{k,\epsilon} - P_k(n^{k,\epsilon}\nabla\phi), \end{cases} \tag{4.14}$$

with initial data

$$(n_0^{k,\epsilon}, c_0^{k,\epsilon}, u_0^{k,\epsilon}) = (n_0 * \sigma^\epsilon, c_0 * \sigma^\epsilon, P_k u_0 * \sigma^\epsilon),$$

where n_0, c_0, u_0 is the initial data of (1.1) satisfying the condition (1.8) in Theorem 4. The mollifier is defined as usual such that $\sigma^\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-3}\sigma(\epsilon^{-1}x)$ for $\sigma \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Apart from the frequency cut-off the regularization is same one for a chemotaxis-fluid model studied in [13]. Repeating similar arguments in Theorem 1, we obtain the local solution of (1.1) in the class

$$\begin{aligned} &n^{k,\epsilon} \in L^\infty(0,T;H^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^m(\mathbb{R}^3)) \\ &c^{k,\epsilon} \in L^\infty(0,T;H^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^m(\mathbb{R}^3)) \\ &u^{k,\epsilon} \in L^\infty(0,T;H^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathcal{H}_k(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^m(\mathbb{R}^3)) \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

for some time T and for all $m > 3$. It turns out that due to the regularization of nonlinear terms and smoothing properties of P_k (see (4.12)), the local solution of (1.1) can be extended up to infinite time.

Proposition 2 *The regularized system* (4.14) *has the unique global solution* $(n^{k,\epsilon}, c^{k,\epsilon}, u^{k,\epsilon})$ *in a class* (4.15) *for any time* $T < \infty$.

Before presenting the proof we observe that the approximating solution $(n^{k,\epsilon}, c^{k,\epsilon}, u^{k,\epsilon})$ of (4.14) satisfies an energy inequality.

Proposition 3 *The solution* $(n^{k,\epsilon}, c^{k,\epsilon}, u^{k,\epsilon})$ *of* (4.14) *satisfies the following inequality.*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Big(\frac{|u^{k,\epsilon}|^2}{2} + n^{k,\epsilon}\phi\Big) + n^{k,\epsilon}|\ln n^{k,\epsilon}| + \frac{|\nabla c^{k,\epsilon}|^2}{2} + \langle x\rangle n^{k,\epsilon}dx \\ &\qquad + \int_0^T \|\nabla u^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\sqrt{n^{k,\epsilon}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta c^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 dt \le C, \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

where $C = C\left(T, \|\chi(c)\|_{L^\infty}, \|\langle x\rangle n_0\|_{L^1}, \|\nabla c_0\|_{L^2}, \|n_0|\ln n_0|\|_{L^1}, \|\Delta\phi\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}, \|\phi\|_{L^\infty}\right)$.

Proof. We note that the same cancellation as in (4.4) holds for the regularized system (4.14), hence $(n^{k,\epsilon}, c^{k,\epsilon}, u^{k,\epsilon})$ satisfying (4.15) satisfy the energy inequalities (4.1)-(4.5). Moreover the following moment bound holds by similar estimates as (4.6), (4.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle x\rangle n^{k,\epsilon}dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n^{k,\epsilon}u^{k,\epsilon}\nabla\langle x\rangle dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n^{k,\epsilon}\Delta\langle x\rangle dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n^{k,\epsilon}[(\chi(c^{k,\epsilon})\nabla c^{k,\epsilon}) * \sigma^\epsilon]\nabla\langle x\rangle dx \\ &\le C\|u^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla c^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2}\|\Delta c^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\sqrt{n^{k,\epsilon}}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n_0\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have (4.16) with T depending on $\|\nabla c_0^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2}$, $\|\langle x\rangle n_0^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^1}$, $\|n_0^{k,\epsilon}|\ln n_0^{k,\epsilon}|\|_{L^1}$. It is immediate to have

$$\|\nabla c_0^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2} + \|\langle x\rangle n_0^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^1} \le \|\nabla c_0\|_{L^2} + \|\langle x\rangle n_0\|_{L^1}.$$

Note that $x\ln x$ is convex and $d\mu = \sigma^\epsilon(y)dy$ provide a probability measure. Then by Jensen's inequality, we have

$$n_0^{k,\epsilon}(\ln n_0^{k,\epsilon})_+ \le (n_0(\ln n_0)_+) * \sigma^\epsilon.$$

Integrating the above in x and observing that $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\|(n_0|\ln n_0|) * \sigma^\epsilon\|_{L^1} = \|n_0|\ln n_0|\|_{L^1}$, we have

$$\|n_0^{k,\epsilon}(\ln n_0^{k,\epsilon})_+\|_{L^1} \le \|n_0|\ln n_0|\|_{L^1}. \tag{4.17}$$

For the $\|n_0^{k,\epsilon}(\ln n_0^{k,\epsilon})_-\|_{L^1}$, proceeding similarly as (3.14), we have

$$\|n_0^{k,\epsilon}(\ln n_0^{k,\epsilon})_-\|_{L^1} \le C + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n^{k,\epsilon}\langle x\rangle dx \le C\left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n\langle x\rangle dx\right),$$

from which we deduce the proposition. □

Now we give the proof of Proposition 2.

Proof of Proposition 2 We first observe that the regularity criterion in Theorem 2 hold true for the system (4.14). Since its verification is tedious repetition of that of Theorem 2, we omit its details. If we consider the second equation of (4.14), then we have the following energy estimates.

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|c^{k,\epsilon}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla c^{k,\epsilon}\|_{H^2}^2 \le C\|\nabla u^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^3}^2\|c^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^6}^2 + C\|\nabla c^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^6}^2\|n^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^1}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla c^{k,\epsilon}\|_{H^2}^2$$
$$\le Ck^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2}^2\|c^{k,\epsilon}\|_{H^2}^2 + C\|n_0^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^1}^2\|c^{k,\epsilon}\|_{H^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla c^{k,\epsilon}\|_{H^2}^2.$$

By using Gronwall's inequality, we have $\left\|\nabla c^{k,\epsilon}\right\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} < \infty$. Since $\|u^{k,\epsilon}(t)\|_{L^2}$ is bounded and $\|\nabla u^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2} \le C\sqrt{k}\|u^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2}$, we can also demonstrate that the Serrin condition in Theorem 2 is satisfied for $u^{k,\epsilon}$. This completes the proof. □

4.2 Global weak solutions

In this subsection, we give the proof of Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. We consider an approximating sequence $(n_0^{l,\epsilon}, c_0^{l,\epsilon}, u_0^{l,\epsilon})$ to (n_0, c_0, u_0). Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |n_0^{l,\epsilon} - n_0| + |\nabla c_0^{l,\epsilon} - \nabla c_0| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_0^{l,\epsilon} - u|^2 dx \to 0.,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle x\rangle n_0^{l,\epsilon} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n_0^{l,\epsilon}|\ln n_0^{l,\epsilon}| \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle x\rangle n_0 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} n_0|\ln n_0|dx + C.$$

We denote by $(n^{l,\epsilon}, c^{l,\epsilon}, u^{l,\epsilon})$ the approximating solution constructed in the previous section for the system (4.14) with initial data $(n^l(0,\cdot), c^l(0,\cdot)) = (n_0^l(0,\cdot), c_0^l(0,\cdot))$ and $u^l(0,\cdot) = P_l u_0(\cdot)$. Several uniform estimates hold for the approximating solutions:

$$\|c^{l,\epsilon}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le C \text{ for } 1 \le p \le \infty, \tag{4.18}$$
$$\|c^{l,\epsilon}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|\Delta c^{l,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le C, \tag{4.19}$$
$$\|\sqrt{n^{l,\epsilon}}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|\nabla\sqrt{n^{l,\epsilon}}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le C, \tag{4.20}$$
$$\|u^{l,\epsilon}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|\nabla u^{l,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le C. \tag{4.21}$$

Then there exists subsequences $n^{l,\epsilon}, c^{l,\epsilon}, u^{l,\epsilon}$ and some functions n, c, u such that

$$\sqrt{n^{l,\epsilon}} \rightharpoonup \sqrt{n} \quad L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) - \text{weak}^*,$$

$$c^{l,\epsilon} \rightharpoonup c \quad L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) - \text{weak}^*,$$
$$u^{l,\epsilon} \rightharpoonup u \quad L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) - \text{weak}^* \cap L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)) - \text{weak}$$

for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let us show that n, c, u is a weak solution in the sense of Definition 5. By Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and (4.20), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |n^{l,\epsilon}|^p dx \leq C\|n_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3-p}{2}} \|\nabla\sqrt{n^{l,\epsilon}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{3(p-1)},$$

and therefore,

$$\|n^{l,\epsilon}\|_{L^q(0,T;L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))} < C(T), \quad 1 \leq q \leq \frac{2p}{3(p-1)} \tag{4.22}$$

for $1 \leq p \leq 3$. Some strong convergences are necessary. We note that (4.22) implies the source term of the Navier Stokes equation $n^{l,\epsilon}\nabla\phi$ is in $L^2([0,T];\mathcal{V}_\sigma{}'(\mathbb{R}^3))$ uniformly with respect to l; for any $w \in L^2([0,T];\mathcal{V}_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3))$, it holds that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_l(n^{l,\epsilon}\nabla\phi) w dx dt \leq \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|n^{l,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|w\|_{L^2(0,T;L^6(\mathbb{R}^3))}.$$

It proves that $\partial_t u^{l,\epsilon}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_\sigma{}'(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Note that u_k is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^3))) \cap L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3))$ due to (4.16). Combining these facts and (4.12), (4.13) we have compactness result for $(u^{l,\epsilon})$ (see [1, Proposition 2.7] for detailed proof): there exists u in $L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3))$ such that up to subsequence

$$\lim_{l\to\infty,\epsilon\to 0} \int_0^T \int_K |u^{l,\epsilon}(t,x) - u(t,x)|^2 dx dt = 0, \tag{4.23}$$

for any $T > 0$ and compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^3$. In addition, for $\Psi \in L^2([0,T];\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\Phi \in L^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l\to\infty,\epsilon\to 0} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u^{l,\epsilon}(t,x)\nabla\Psi(t,x) dx dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u(t,x)\nabla\Psi(t,x) dx dt, \\ \lim_{l\to\infty,\epsilon\to 0} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^{l,\epsilon}(t,x)\Phi(t,x) dx dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(t,x)\Phi(t,x) dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Furthermore, For any $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+;\mathcal{V}_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3))$

$$\lim_{l\to\infty,\epsilon\to 0} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u^{l,\epsilon}(t,x) - u(t,x))\psi(t,x) dx \right| = 0. \tag{4.25}$$

Applying a test function Ψ in $C^1([0,T];\mathcal{V}_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3))$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\langle u^{l,\epsilon}(t), \Psi(t)\rangle = {} & \langle \Delta u^{l,\epsilon}(t), P_l\Psi(t)\rangle + \langle Q(u^{l,\epsilon}(t), u^{l,\epsilon}(t)), P_l\Psi(t)\rangle \\ & + \langle (n^{l,\epsilon}\nabla\phi), P_l\Psi(t)\rangle + \langle u^{l,\epsilon}(t), \frac{d}{dt}\Psi(t)\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Following the arguments in [1], that is, using (4.23)-(4.25) and the fact

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|P_l\Psi(t) - \Psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 0, \tag{4.27}$$

we can pass to the limit with respect to l so that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u\cdot\Psi(T,x)dx+\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\nabla u:\nabla\Psi-u\otimes u:\nabla\Psi-u\cdot\partial_t\Psi)(s,x)dxds\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}u_0(x)\Psi(0,x)dx+\lim_{l\to\infty,\epsilon\to0}\int_0^T\langle n^{l,\epsilon}\nabla\phi,\Psi\rangle dt.\end{aligned}$$

For the strong convergence of $(n^{l,\epsilon})$ we have $\sqrt{n^{l,\epsilon}}\to\sqrt{n}$ strongly in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for a.e. $t\in[0,T]$ by Sobolev embedding. Since $\|\sqrt{n^{l,\epsilon}}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ is continuous in time, we redefine $n(t)$ such that $\|\sqrt{n^{l,\epsilon}}-\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\to0$ for all $t\in[0,T]$. Then by (4.22) and Lebesgue Dominated convergence theorem, it follows that

$$\|n^{l,\epsilon}-n\|_{L^q(0,T;L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3))}\to0,\qquad 1\le q\le\frac{2p}{3(p-1)}\tag{4.28}$$

for $1\le p\le2$. For the convergence of $(c^{l,\epsilon})$ we have $c^{l,\epsilon}(t)\to c(t)$ strongly in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $t\in[0,T]$ and therefore,

$$\|c^{l,\epsilon}-c\|_{L^p_{loc}((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^3)}\to0,\qquad 1\le p<\infty\tag{4.29}$$

by the uniform boundedness (4.18). Moreover we have

$$\|\nabla c^{l,\epsilon}-\nabla c\|_{L^2(0,T;L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3))}\to0,\qquad 1\le p<6.\tag{4.30}$$

By (4.19), $\|\nabla c^{l,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))}$ is uniformly bounded. For any $\nabla g\in L^4(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$, we have

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\nabla c^{l,\epsilon}gdxdt\le\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}u^{l,\epsilon}\nabla c^{l,\epsilon}\nabla g+\Delta c^{l,\epsilon}\nabla g+k(c^{l,\epsilon})(n^{l,\epsilon}*\sigma_\epsilon)\nabla gdxdt.$$

We estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}u^{l,\epsilon}\nabla c^{l,\epsilon}\nabla gdxdt&\le C\int_0^T\|u^{l,\epsilon}\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}\|\nabla c^{l,\epsilon}\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\|\Delta c^{l,\epsilon}\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}dt\\&\le C\int_0^T\|\nabla u^{l,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\|\Delta c^{l,\epsilon}\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\|\nabla g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}dt\\&\le C\|\nabla u^{l,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))}\|\Delta c^{l,\epsilon}\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))}\|\nabla g\|_{L^4(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))},\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}k(c^{l,\epsilon})(n^{l,\epsilon}*\sigma_\epsilon)\nabla gdxdt\le C\|n^{l,\epsilon}\|_{L^{\frac43}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))}\|\nabla g\|_{L^4(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))}.$$

Thus we have $\partial_t c^{l,\epsilon}\in L^{\frac43}(0,T;H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. The strong convergences (4.28)-(4.30) are enough to pass to the limit for nonlinear terms in the chemotaxis part. For instance, testing a $\Psi\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to the worst nonlinear term $\nabla\cdot(n^{l,\epsilon}(\chi(c^{l,\epsilon})\nabla c^{l,\epsilon})*\sigma_\epsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla\cdot(n^{l,\epsilon}[(\chi(c^{l,\epsilon})\nabla c^{l,\epsilon})*\sigma_\epsilon])\Psi-\nabla\cdot(n\chi(c)\nabla c)\Psi dxdt\\&=\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(n^{l,\epsilon}-n)[(\chi(c^{l,\epsilon})\nabla c^{l,\epsilon})*\sigma_\epsilon]\nabla\Psi dxdt\\&+\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}n[(\chi(c^{l,\epsilon})\nabla c^{l,\epsilon})*\sigma_\epsilon-\chi(c)\nabla c]\nabla\Psi dxdt.\end{aligned}$$

The second integral is

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [(n\nabla\Psi) * \sigma_\epsilon - n\Psi]\chi(c^{l,\epsilon})\nabla c^{l,\epsilon} + n\nabla\Psi(\chi(c^{l,\epsilon})\nabla c^{l,\epsilon} - \chi(c)\nabla c) dxdt$$
$$= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [(n\nabla\Psi) * \sigma_\epsilon - n\Psi]\chi(c^{l,\epsilon})\nabla c^{l,\epsilon} + n\nabla\Psi([(\chi(c^{l,\epsilon}) - \chi(c)]\nabla c^{l,\epsilon} + \chi(c)(\nabla c^{l,\epsilon} - \nabla c)) dxdt.$$

The integrals go to zero by the uniform estimates (4.18)-(4.21) and (4.28)-(4.30) with the Lipschitz continuous assumption on $\chi(\cdot)$.
Lastly, we consider the approximated energy inequality (4.16) replacing $n^{l,\epsilon}|\ln n^{l,\epsilon}|$ with $n^{l,\epsilon}\ln n^{l,\epsilon}$. Taking the limit and uing the convexity of $x\ln x$ we deduce

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\frac{|u|^2}{2} + n\phi + n\ln n + \frac{|\nabla c|^2}{2} + \langle x\rangle n) dx + \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\sqrt{n}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta c\|_{L^2}^2 dt \le C,$$

with $C = C(T, \|\chi(c)\|_{L^\infty}, \|\langle x\rangle n_0\|_{L^1}, \|\nabla c_0\|_{L^2}, \|n_0|\ln n_0|\|_{L^1}, \|\Delta\phi\|_{L^\infty}, \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}, \|\phi\|_{L^\infty})$. By the same reasoning for treating $n(\ln n)_-$ term in (3.14) we show the weak solutions (n, c, u) satisfy the energy inequality in Definition 5 (c). This completes the proof of Theorem 4. □

Acknowledgments

M. Chae's work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF No. 2009-0069501). K. Kang's work was partially supported by KRF-2008-331-C00024 and NRF-2009-0088692. J. Lee's work was partially supported by NRF-2009-0072320. We appreciate professor Dmitry Vorotnikov for valuable comments.

References

[1] J.Y. Chemin, B. Desjardins, I. Gallagher, and E. Grenier, *Mathematical Geophysics*, Oxford university press, 2006.

[2] A. Chertock, K. Fellner, A. Kurganov, A. Lorz, and P. A. Markowich, *Sinking, merging and stationary plumes in a coupled chemotaxis-fluid model: a high-resolution numerical approach*, 2011, to appear in J. Fluid Mech.

[3] L. Corrias, B. Perthame, H. Zaag, *A chemotaxis model motivated by angiogenesis*, C. R. Math. Acad.Sci. Paris, 336(2); 141–146, 2003.

[4] L. Corrias, B. Perthame, H. Zaag, *Global solutions of some chemotaxis and angiogenesis systems in high space dimensions*, Milan J. Math., 72(1); 1–28, 2004.

[5] R. Diperna and P. L. Lions, *On the Cauchy problem for Blotzmann equations: Global existence and weak stability,* Ann. Math., 139: 321-366, 1989.

[6] R. Duan, A. Lorz, and P. Markowich, *Global solutions to the coupled chemotaxis-fluid equations*, Comm. Partial Diff. Equations, 35(9); 1635–1673, 2010.

[7] M.D. Francesco, A. Lorz, and P. Markowich, *Chemotaxis-fluid coupled model for swimming bacteria with nonlinear diffusion: global existence and asymptotic behavior*, Discrete. Conti. Dyna. Sys., 28(4); 1437-53, 2010.

[8] Y. Giga and H. Sohr, *Abstract L^p estimates for the Cauchy problem with applications fo Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains*, J. Funct. Analysis, 102(1): 72-94, 1991.

[9] M.A. Herrero and J.L.L. Velazquez, *A blow-up mechanism for chemotaxis model*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, 24(4); 633–683, 1997.

[10] D. Horstman and G. Wang, *Blow-up in a chemotaxis model without symmetry assumptions*, European J. Appl. Math. 12(2); 159–177, 2001.

[11] E.F. Keller and L.A. Segel, *Initiation of slide mold aggregation viewd as an instability*, J. Theor. Biol., 26(3); 399–415, 1970.

[12] E.F. Keller and L.A. Segel, *Model for chemotaxis*, J. Theor. Biol., 30(2); 225–234, 1971.

[13] J.-G. Liu and A. Lorz, *a coupled chemotaxis-fluid model*, Ann. I. H. Poincaré, 28(5): 643–652, 2011.

[14] A. Lorz, *Coupled chemotaxis fluid model*, Math. Models and Meth. in Appl. Sci., 20(6):987-1004, 2010.

[15] T. Nagai, T. Senba, and K. Yoshida, *Applications of the Trudinger-Moser inequality to a parabolic system of chemotaxis*, Funkcial Ekvac. 40(3); 411–433, 1997.

[16] K. Osaki and A. Yagi, *Finite dimensional attractors for one-dimensional Keller-Segel equations*, Funkcial Ekvac. 44(3); 441–469, 2001.

[17] C.S. Patlak, *Random walk with persistence and external bias*, Bull. Math. Biol. Biophys. 15; 311–338, 1953.

[18] L. Tartar, *Topics in nonlinear analysis* , Publicatons mathematiques de l'Universite de Paris-Sud(Orsay), 1978.

[19] Y. Tao, *Boundedness in a chemotaxis model with oxygen consumption by bacteria*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 381(2); 521–529, 2011.

[20] I. Tuval, L. Cisneros, C. Dombrowski, C. W. Wolgemuth, J. O. Kessler, and R. E. Goldstein, *Bacterial swimming and oxygen transport near contact lines,* PNAS, 102(7): 2277-2282, 2005.

[21] M. Winkler, *Aggregation vs. global diffusive behavior in the higher-dimensional Keller-Segel model*, J. Differential Equations, 248(12); 2889–2995, 2010.

Myeongju Chae
Department of Applied Mathematics
Hankyong National University
Ansung, Republic of Korea
mchae@hknu.ac.kr

Kyungkeun Kang
Department of Mathematics
Yonsei University
Seoul, Republic of Korea
kkang@yonsei.ac.kr

Jihoon Lee
Department of Mathematics
Sungkyunkwan University
Suwon, Republic of Korea
jihoonlee@skku.edu